

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,055	. (06/06/2001	Yoko Iwamiya	208853US0	S0 5631 ·	
22850	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SP 1940 DUKE S	-	MCCLELLAND,	METZMAIER, DANIEL S			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1212		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(V -
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/874,055	IWAMIYA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS IN THE MAILING DOWNS IN THE MAILING TOWNS IN THE MAILING TOWNS IN THE MAILING TOWNS IN THE MAILING TOWNS IN THE MAILING TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	eptember 2005.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	·	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	·		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•	•
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,8,11,13 and 14 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,8,11,13 and 14 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 11, and 13-14 are pending.

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 29 September 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 6,403,183 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 11, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The independent claims employ improper alternative language in defining the R groups of the formulae. More specifically, the claims employ open language "comprising". It is unclear what are the metes and bounds of the claimed groups and the unspecified species. See as an example, the claim 1 definition of R₉ and R₁₁.

The dependent claims are included since they do not correct the issue with these limitations and thus incorporate them.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/874,055

Art Unit: 1712

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 11, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naito et al, US 5,292,799. Naito et al (abstract, column 8, lines 16 et seq; column 12, lines 66 et seq; examples, and claims) discloses compositions employing a liquid siloxane and a cross-linking agent with a curing catalyst. Naito et al (column 24, lines 55 and 57) disclose the use of the compositions in the treatment of fibers and textiles. Said utility as a coating agents is clearly contemplated in the Naito et al reference.

Naito et al <u>differs</u> from the claims in the exemplified composition and/or disclosure of sufficient specificity to anticipate the claims.

Naito et al (column 12, lines 66 et seq) disclose liquid siloxanes reading on applicants' component (a) oligomers. Naito et al further discloses trimethoxysilanes cross-linking agent therewith. Naito et al (column 26, lines 33 et seq) disclose the use

Application/Control Number: 09/874,055 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

of dimethyl dimethoxysilane cross-linking agents. Naito et al (column 8, lines 31-37) disclose the cross-linker is employed at less than 50 % of the liquid siloxane.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ the dimethyldimethoxysilnae cross-linkers as an obvious functional equivalent to the cross-linker set forth in column 13, lines 1-3, as clearly contemplated by the Naito et al reference.

Furthermore, since Naito et al clearly contemplates both the trialkoxysilanes and the dialkoxysilanes as cross-linkers in less than 50% of the liquid siloxane, the combination of the two cross-linkers would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of applicants' invention as a point of law. It is generally prima facie obvious to use in combination two or more ingredients that have previously been used separately for the same purpose in order to form a third composition useful for that same purpose. In re-Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980); In re Pinten, 459 F.2d 1053, 173 USPQ 801 (CCPA 1972); In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971); In re Crockett, 279 F.2d 274, 126 USPQ 186 (CCPA 1960). As stated in Kerkhoven and Crockett, the idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 11, and 13-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/874,055 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/874,055

Art Unit: 1712

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaier

Art Unit 1712

DSM